Enacted in Japanese: 2018.7.19 Revised in Japanese: 2018.9.19 Revised in Japanese: 2019.1.23 Revised in Japanese: 2022.10.1

## Fisheries Management Standard Guidelines for Auditors - Indicators of Conformity Version 2.2



Marine Eco-Label Japan Council

### I. Introduction

This document shows the criteria for deciding whether the Applicant conforms to the Fisheries Management Standard, Version 2.0 (hereinafter referred to as "FMS") of Marine Eco-Label Japan (MEL). This document, which is intended to be utilized as guidelines when certification auditors of MEL examine applications, provides explanations of the respective requirements of the FMS, and illustrates evidentiary materials for deciding conformity as well as evidence, concepts, etc. for judgment.

In addition to this document, a template of assessment report, "Checklist for Auditors on Fisheries Management Standard" and "Requirements for Certification Body Certifying Fisheries Management Standard" shall contribute to uniformizing the quality of MEL certifications.

It should be noted that the materials, concepts, etc. described in this document and its appendices are examples, and that if more proper evidence is available, the name and summary of the evidence as well as the grounds and reason for conformity that evidence shall be described in the comments section.

### **II. Criteria for Certification**

Fisheries management certification shall not be granted any of following situation.

- When there is at least one major non-conformity against one of the principles of requirements for FMS
- When there are four or more minor non-conformities against one of the principles of requirements for FMS.

### III. Terms and Definitions Used for Evaluation

- Major Non-Conformity: A situation where the audit team concludes there is no information and
  evidence to demonstrate conformity of the applicant organization's fisheries procedures with the
  requirements of the FMS.
- Minor Non-Conformity: Although information and evidence exist to demonstrate the target fisheries with the requirements of the FMS, the audit team concludes those information and evidence are not sufficient enough to be certifies the target fisheries with the requirements of the FMS.
- **Observation**: A situation where, while not an actual non-conformity with the requirements of the FMS, the audit team notes that there is room for improvement, or a risk of falling into non-

conformity.

- Conformity: A situation where the audit team concludes that there exists full sufficient information and evidence indicating conformity with the requirements for of the FMS.
- **Not Applicable:** A situation where the audit team concluded that the requirement and indicator of the FMS is not applicable for the applicant.

### IV. Body Text

### 1. Requirements concerning a management system

### (Fisheries must be operated under an effective management system)

In this section, the Applicant's status of compliance with the Japanese Fishery Act and related laws on the management of stocks is checked and confirmed.

### 1.1 Assessment for Acquisition of Fishing License and Permission

### 1.1.1 Acquisition of fishing license and permission

### Requirement: 1.1.1 The unit of certification shall be operated legally in accordance with national legislation, such as acquiring fishery license and permission necessary for operating the fisheries from the competent authority (i.e. national or prefectural governments). Indicator: (a) (a) Whether the unit of certification is operated legally in accordance with followings. Existence of license/permission necessary for operating the fishery by the unit of certification issued by the competent authority such as the relevant national/local government. ☐ Existence of documents which verifies the legality of the fishery by the unit of certification in case that the unit of certification is not required for the license nor permission. **Evaluation: (a)** Major non-conformity Not exist Minor non-conformity N/A Observation N/A

### **Guidance for Auditors:**

Conformity

For the requirement 1.1.1, a copy of the "license, permission or the like of the fishery which is necessary for operating the marine capture fishery" must be confirmed, and if applicable, it is possible to assess the requirement by confirming that the unit of certification is lawful even without any permission or license.

Exist

The latter case is based on the background that anybody can, in principle, be freely engaged in fishery in Japan, while on the other hand specified (a great number of) marine capture fisheries are respectively under public regulations from the standpoint of serving the public interest (stock management and fisheries adjustment)

### **Examples of Evidence:**

A photostat copy of fishing license issued by the Minister of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries and/or a photostat copy of permission issued by local government or interview to the department in

charge of local government (to obtain a written consent if possible).

### 1.1.2 Management system

### **Requirement: 1.1.2**

An organization and system shall be established to manage the fishery of which the unit of certification is a part. There should be an established management organization and system in order to manage the unit of certification.

### Indicator: (a)

- (a) Whether organizations and arrangements (such as a fisheries cooperative association, national/local organization, official research institute, etc.) which manage the fishery are established.
  - ☐ References on the management organizations and arrangements for the fishery of which the unit of certification is a part

### **Evaluation: (a)**

274144110111 (4)	
Major non-conformity	Not established
Minor non-conformity	N/A
Observation	N/A
Conformity	Established

### **Guidance for Auditors:**

The requirement 1.1.2 is assessed by confirming with the materials showing the management system of the unit of certification concerned.

In Japan, there are fisheries management bodies from a small-scale one on a village basis to the one on a State basis and further to a regional fisheries management body for international stocks. Although the stock management is conducted systematically in these small-scale fisheries management bodies, there may not exist sufficient written materials due to conventions. Consequently, for the materials to supplement such insufficiency, it is possible to collect interviews, etc. with constituent members of the bodies concerned and market participants, and use such interviews, etc. as evidence.

### **Examples of Evidence:**

Organization chart of management bodies for the unit of certification (local government, fishing cooperative, fishers, Fisheries Adjustment Commissions, Japan Coast Guard, etc.) showing their roll allotment.

### 1.1.3 Understanding of status of the fishery

Requirement: 1.1.3		
There should be knowledge and documentation of the current state of the unit of certification this		
includes the following		
(a) Outline of the unit of cert	ification	
(b) Fishing gears and fishing	methods	
(c) Catch volume and fishing	g effort	
(d) Type of business and its b	pusiness condition	
Indicator: (a)		
(a) Outline of the unit of certification	ication	
☐ Collected and maintain	ed references on a summary of the marine capture fishery (such as	
fishing period and fishing	ng-ground map	
Evaluation: (a)		
Major non-conformity	Not exist	
Minor non-conformity	N/A	
Observation	N/A	
• Conformity	Exist	
Indicator: (b)		
(b) Fishing gear and fishing m	nethods	
☐ Collected and maintained references on a schematic diagram on the fishing gears and fishing		
methods		
<b>Evaluation: (b)</b>		
Major non-conformity	Not exist	
• Minor non-conformity	N/A	
• Observation	N/A	
• Conformity	Exist	
Indicator: (c)		
(c) Catch amount and fishing effort		
☐ Collected and maintained information on catch amount and fishing efforts		
Evaluation: (c)		
· Major non-conformity	Not exist	
Minor non-conformity	N/A	
Observation	N/A	
• Conformity	Exist	

Indicator: (d)			
(d) Type of business and its business condition			
☐ Collected and maintai	☐ Collected and maintained references on the type of the fishery business and business		
condition			
Evaluation: (d)			
<ul> <li>Major non-conformity</li> </ul>	Not exist		
Minor non-conformity	N/A		
Observation	N/A		
• Conformity	Exist		
Guidance for Auditors:			
As for the requirement 1.1.3, as it is necessary to determine the current status of the unit of			

### **Examples of Evidence:**

- 1) Fishing rights, Fishing times, Fishing grounds, etc.
- 2) Pictures of fishing gear
- 3) Catch data, Fishing license, Plan of resource management
- 4) Financial statement (visual inspection only due to privacy protection)

certification, the requirement is confirmed by materials as shown below.

### 1.2 Compliance and surveillance with regulations and arrangements concerning the unit of certification and the target stock

### 1.2.1 Compliance with regulations and arrangements

Requirement: 1.2.1			
The unit of certification should be conducted in compliance with regulations and arrangements set			
by national and local governme	ents following effective and suitable monitoring, surveillance, control		
and enforcement.			
Indicator: (a)			
(a) Whether an effective fisher	ries management system, including monitoring, surveillance, control		
and enforcement, for the fi	shery of which the unite of certification is a part exists in accordance		
with relevant laws and reg	ulations		
☐ Existence of laws and	regulations to effectively manage the fishery of which the unite of		
certification is a part			
☐ Existence of the effective	ctive management system for the fishery of which the unite of		
certification is a part op	erates in accordance with relevant laws and regulations		
Evaluation: (a)			
Major non-conformity	No exist		
Minor non-conformity	N/A		
• Observation	N/A		
<ul> <li>Conformity</li> </ul>	Exist		
Indicator: (b)			
(b) Whether measures on the penalties against the laws and regulation including the fishery			
management measures exist and the penalties are appropriately executed to the violation against			
the laws and regulation including the fishery management measures.			
☐ Existence of the record of appropriate execution of the penalties to the violation			
Evaluation: (b)	Evaluation: (b)		
Major non-conformity	No exist		
• Minor non-conformity	N/A		
Observation	N/A		
Conformity	Exist		
Guidance for Auditors:	Guidance for Auditors:		

As for the requirement 1.2.1, as it is necessary that not only the unit of certification but also the unit of certification concerned in total should comply with the laws and regulations by State and local government and that there exist some measures to be taken in the case of non-compliance of these laws and regulations and furthermore, as it is necessary to determine whether the national/local

government laws and regulations are complied with. Whether the requirement is satisfied is assessed by confirming the materials as shown below.

- 1) Laws and regulations relating to target fisheries (Fishery Act, Fisheries Adjustment Rules, Act on the Protection of Fishery Resources, etc.)
- 2) Penalties and measures of violation of laws and regulations mentioned above (local government report the actual conditions)

### 1.2.2 Formulation and implementation of Stock Management Plan

### **Requirement: 1.2.2**

A Resource Management Plan for the unit of certification and the stock under consideration shall be developed by fishers in accordance with a Resource Management Policy developed by national and local governments that includes management objectives and measures based on the best scientific evidence available. Alternatively, an equally effective management system that enables compliance with stock management measures shall be established. The state of implementation of the Resource Management Plan (or equivalent) shall be monitored and verified.

### Indicator: (a)

- (a) Whether a Resource Management Policy for the comprehensive regulations on fishing efforts and catch amounts and a Resource Management Plan in accordance with the Resource Management Policy (or stock management measures equivalent thereto) for the unit of certification and the stock under consideration are prepared
  - ☐ Preparation of a Resource Management Policy (including those equivalent thereto)
  - ☐ Preparation of a Resource Management Plan (including those equivalent thereto)

### **Evaluation: (a)**

Major non-conformity	Not prepared.
Minor non-conformity	N/A
Observation	N/A
Conformity	Prepared.

### Indicator: (b)

- (b) Whether relevant fishery management organizations monitor and verify the progress of the Resource Management Plan.
  - ☐ Existence of a report on the progress of the Resource Management Plan

### **Evaluation: (b)**

<ul> <li>Major non-conformity</li> </ul>	Not exist
Minor non-conformity	N/A
Observation	N/A
Conformity	Exist

### **Guidance for Auditors:**

In assessing the requirement 1.2.2, on whether the "Stock Management Plan" (or equivalent Stock Management Measures) of the unit of certification is set in accordance with the "Stock Management Guideline" provided by the national/local governments or on whether the implementation status of the "Stock Management Plan" is confirmed, whether the requirement is assessed by confirming the followings.

For the small-scale fishery, in the case of a management body under its own voluntary rules and regulations in addition to those by State and local governments, there may not exist sufficient written materials due to conventions. Consequently, for the materials to supplement such insufficiency, it is necessary to collect interviews, etc. with constituent members of the body and market participants as evidence.

- 1) Stock Management Policy prepared by local government.
- 2) Stock Management Plan or equivalent rules prepared by local government.
- 3) Report of resource management.

### 1.2.3 Participatory management and ensuring transparency

### Requirement: 1.2.3 Decision-making process for the management of the unit of certification shall be transparent and ensuring participation of relevant stakeholders including related fishers, scientists and the government. Indicator: (a) (a) Whether relevant fishers, researchers, administration officers and other relevant stakeholders are involved in the decision-making process in the fisheries management system under which the unit of certification is managed in order to be both participatory and transparent ☐ Existence of documents on the organization chart for the decision making arrangement and participation list on the relevant stakeholders **Evaluation: (a)** Major non-conformity Not exist Minor non-conformity Exist with partial lack of evidence Observation Exist but required to be improved Conformity Exist **Indicator: (b)** (b) Whether any decision-making process with transparency exists. ☐ Existence of the rules for the decision making process and the record of discussion **Evaluation: (b)** Not exist Major non-conformity · Minor non-conformity Exist with partial lack of evidence · Observation Exist but required to be improved Conformity **Exist Guidance for Auditors:** In assessing the requirement 1.2.3, as it is necessary to adequately determine whether the relevant fishers, researchers, administrative officers and relevant stakeholders are involved in decisionmaking process, or whether consensus-building process is established, the requirements are assessed through confirming the materials as shown below. **Examples of Evidence:** 1) Organization chart and name list of Fisheries Adjustment Committee. 2) Minutes of meetings on Fisheries Adjustment Committee.

### 1.2.4 Establishment of a regional cooperation system

### Requirement: 1.2.4 There shall be a cooperative stock management system (organization) in the regions where the stock under consideration is utilized or in more extensive areas. If the stock under consideration is managed at the international level, for instance in the case of transboundary fish stock, straddling fish stock, highly migratory fish stock or high seas fish stock, there shall be in compliance with stock management measures set by the competent management authorities. Indicator: (a) (a) Whether, in case that the stock under consideration is managed at the international level, a cooperative international/regional/bilateral stock management system or organization exists, as appropriate, that is concerned with the management of the whole stock unit over its entire area of distribution in addition to national/local system or organization to manage the stock under consideration. ☐ Existence of an regional stock management system or organization ☐ Existence of an international stock management system or organization **Evaluation: (a)** Not exist Major non-conformity N/A Minor non-conformity Observation N/A Conformity Exist Not applicable The stock under consideration is not a transboundary fish stock, straddling fish stock, highly migratory fish stock nor high seas fish stock and not to be managed at the international level. **Indicator: (b)** (b) Whether, in case that the stock under consideration is managed at the international level, the fishery of which the unit of certification is a part is in compliance with stock management measures in accordance with national/local laws and regulations, which are also consistent with relevant regional/international laws and regulations. Existence of management measures for the fishery including the penalties against the measures and a report on execution of the penalties as applicable. **Evaluation: (b)** Not exist Major non-conformity N/A Minor non-conformity N/A Observation

**Exist** 

Conformity

Not applicable	The stock under consideration is not a transboundary fish stock,	
	straddling fish stock, highly migratory fish stock nor high seas fish	
	stock and not to be managed at the international level.	

### **Guidance for Auditors:**

In assessing the requirement 1.2.4, it is necessary to adequately determine whether there exist any regional or wide-area stock management system (in case the stock under consideration is managed internationally) and whether the Stock Management Measures provided by the relevant body. The requirements are assessed through confirming the materials shown below.

- 1) Fishing committee and/or Fishery Adjustment Commission covers multi regions.
- 2) Penalties and measures of violation of laws and regulations mentioned above.

### 1.2.5 Formulation of plan of fish release

### Requirement: 1.2.5

For cases in which releasing of the seedlings of stock under consideration is undertaken, plans for releasing of the seedlings shall be developed and implemented based on consultation between the national or local government and fishers and other relevant stakeholders.

### Indicator: (a)

(a)	Whether a plan for releasing of the seedlings is formulated by national/local organizations
	based on the consultation with the relevant stakeholders such as the fishers and the releasing is
	implemented in accordance with the plan.

☐ Existence of a plan	for releasing of the	seedlings (including	g those equivalent thereto)
-----------------------	----------------------	----------------------	-----------------------------

☐ Existence of the progress report of the plan

Evaluation: (i)		
Major non-conformity	Not exist	
Minor non-conformity	Exist with partial lack of evidence	
Observation	Exist but required to be improved	
Conformity	Exist	
Not applicable	The seedlings are not released for the unite of the certification	

### **Guidance for Auditors:**

In assessing the requirement 1.2.5, it is necessary to adequately determine whether the plan for releasing seedlings is established and implemented through the consultation between the State and local government and the relevant fishers. The requirements are assessed through confirming the materials as shown below.

- 1) Guidelines and annual plan of fish release.
- 2) Report of fish release.

### 1.2.6 Precautionary approach and adaptive management

### Requirement: 1.2.6

Taking due account of various uncertainty inherent in fisheries stocks, ecosystem and stock management, precautional fisheries management is undertaken. There shall be a mechanism to change and improve management measures in an adaptive manner depending on the status of the stock under consideration and of the ecosystem.

### Indicator: (a)

- (a) Whether a mechanism exists in order to change and improve management measures in an adaptive manner to unexpected changes of the situation on the stock under consideration and relative matters due to environmental changes, etc.
  - ☐ Existence of the mechanism of precautionary measures and adaptive management

### Evaluation: (a) Major non-conformity Not exist Minor non-conformity Exist with partial lack of evidence Observation Exist but required to be improved Conformity Exist

### **Guidance for Auditors:**

In assessing the requirement 1.2.6, it is necessary to adequately determine "awareness of uncertainty and implementation status of precautional fisheries management", "awareness of uncertainty and status of reflection upon fisheries management" and "introduction of monitoring and adaptive management." The confirmation of the following will contribute to the adequate assessment of the requirement.

- Whether the necessity of precautionary approach and adaptive management in view of various uncertainties associated with fishery resources, ecosystem and stock management is explicitly indicated in the standards.
- 2) Whether the consideration of precautionary approach in view of various uncertainties associated with fishery resources, ecosystem and stock management is explicitly described in the management plan, etc.
- 3) Whether the system for adaptive management is explicitly described in the management plan, etc.
- 4) Whether the implementation status of precautionary measures can be checked and confirmed by a report, the minutes of a meeting, etc.
- 5) Whether the implementation of adaptive management can be checked and confirmed by a report, the minutes of a meeting, etc.

### 1.2.7 Agreement formation concerning multifaceted utilization

### Requirement: 1.2.7

When there are other activities than the fisheries in the same waters where the unit of certification operates, there shall be continuous dialogue among stakeholders about the effectiveness of management measures and a corresponding record of this dialogue shall be maintained.

### Indicator: (a)

- (a) Whether a room to dialogue the effectiveness of management measures among the stakeholders as applicable and the discussion records exists, taking into account the multipurpose nature of the use pattern in waters
  - ☐ Existence of a room to dialogue the effectiveness of management measures among the stakeholders besides fishery related stakeholders as applicable
  - ☐ Existence of the discussion records of the dialogue

### **Evaluation: (a)**

	Major non-conformity	Not exist
	Minor non-conformity	Exist with partial lack of evidence
	• Observation	Exist but required to be improved
	• Conformity	Exist
	Not applicable	No specific activities other than fisheries

### **Guidance for Auditors:**

In assessing the requirement 1.2.7, it is necessary to adequately determine "whether the consultation in which wide-area stakeholders other than fishers (if applicable) participate is set up and the contents of consultation are recorded." The confirmation of the following will contribute to the adequate assessment of the requirement.

- 1) Rules and regulations and/or name list of Sea Use Committee and/or Fishery Adjustment Commissions.
- 2) Minutes of meetings of organizations mentioned above.

### 1.2.8 Dissemination of management rules

# Requirement: 1.2.8 Information including things such as management systems (organization) and fishers' initiatives shall be made available to all, including non-fishers. Indicator: (a) (a) Whether information on fishery management system, initiatives by the fishers, etc. is available to the public Description Publication/disclosure of the information Evaluation: (a) Major non-conformity Not published/disclosed Published/disclosed with partial lack of evidence

### **Guidance for Auditors:**

Observation

Conformity

In assessing the requirement 1.2.8, it is necessary to adequately determine "whether the information on the management rules and fishers' effort is provided to the persons other than fishers.

Published/disclosed

Published/disclosed but required to be improved

The confirmation of the following will contribute to the adequate assessment of the requirement.

### **Examples of Evidence:**

Pamphlet, web site and other information sources for recreation fishers to notice closed season and areas of target fisheries.

### 2. Requirements on the Stock Under Consideration

(Stock under consideration is maintained at a level that allows its sustainable utilization)

### 2.1 Understanding of biological information

Requirement: 2.1		
Biological data and information about the stock under consideration covering the following factors		
shall be collected and maintain	ned.	
(a) Distribution and migratio	n	
(b) Age, growth and life expo	ectancy	
(c) Maturity and spawning		
Indicator: (a)		
(a) Distribution and migration		
☐ Existence of collected	and maintained knowledge and publications concerning distribution	
Existence of collected	and maintained knowledge and publications concerning migration	
Evaluation: (a)		
Major non-conformity	Not exist	
Minor non-conformity	Exist with partial lack of evidence	
Observation	Exist but required to be improved	
• Conformity	Exist	
Indicator: (b)		
(b) Age, growth and life expectancy		
☐ Existence of collected and maintained knowledge and publications concerning age and life		
span		
☐ Existence of collected and maintained knowledge and publications concerning growth		
Evaluation: (b)		
Major non-conformity	Not exist	
Minor non-conformity	Exist with partial lack of evidence	
Observation	Exist but required to be improved	
• Conformity	Exist	
Indicator: (c)		
(c) Maturity and spawning		
☐ Existence of collected and maintained knowledge and publications concerning maturity		
☐ Existence of collected and maintained knowledge and publications concerning spawning		
Evaluation: (c)		

Major non-conformity	Not exist
Minor non-conformity	Exist with partial lack of evidence
Observation	Exist but required to be improved
Conformity	Exist

### **Guidance for Auditors:**

The requirement 2.1 can be assessed by confirming the "materials showing biological knowledge of the stock under consideration."

In Japan, in the case of fish species subject to TAC (total allowable catch) shown in the Fisheries Stock Assessment in the waters around Japan, etc. biological knowledge on the stock under consideration is comprehensively provided, so that the auditor had better confirm this biological knowledge.

In case the stock under consideration is of such a regional species as sakura-ebi (small pink shrimp) and kotamagai (a kind of bivalve; macridiscus melanaegis), academic journals and research reports by the experiment and research institute of the prefectures concerned can be used to confirm the biological knowledge.

- 1) Assessment of Fishery Resources in Japan http://abchan.fra.go.jp/digests2021/index.html
- 2) Status of Fishery Resources in the World <a href="http://kokushi.fra.go.jp/index-2.html">http://kokushi.fra.go.jp/index-2.html</a>

### 2.2 Scientific evidence

### Requirement: 2.2

Data and information based on the best scientific evidence available shall be collected and maintained in order to assess the current status and trends of the stock under consideration.

### Indicator: (a)

(a)	Whether	the	following	scientific	evidence	data	are	collected	and	maintained	for	the
	managem	ent o	of the stock	under cor	sideration	base	d on	internation	nal sta	andards such	as I	FAO
	Guideline	es for	r the routin	e collectio	n of captu	re fisl	nery	data (herei	nafte	r referred to	as I	FAO
	Guideline	es).										

Existence of	collected and	maintained	data on	the	fishing	effort

☐ Existence of collected and maintained other data necessary for the assessment of the stock under consideration

### **Evaluation: (a)**

L'aluation. (a)				
Major non-conformity	Not exist			
Minor non-conformity	Exist with partial lack of evidence			
Observation	Exist but required to be improved			
Conformity	Exist			

### **Guidance for Auditors:**

The requirement 2.2 can be assessed by confirming the "materials showing the existence of scientific evidence of the stock under consideration." In Japan, in the case of fish species subject to TAC (total allowable catch) shown in the Fisheries Stock Assessment in the waters around Japan, etc. the data necessary for the stock assessment of the stock under consideration is collected, so that the auditor had better confirm these data.

In the case of the stocks whose stock assessment employs a VPA (Virtual Population Analysis), data are collected including not only monthly fish catch and fishing effort by fishery type, but also statistics by fishing-ground, age-length, length-weight, length composition, age composition, and maturity rate by age. However, these data are often collected even if no stock assessment by VPA is conducted. This is because the period during which the data can be used is short, and there occurs a failure in tuning, etc. so that careful attention is required as another stock assessment technique is employed.

In case the stock under consideration is of such a regional species, such as sakura-ebi (small pink shrimp) and kotamagai (a kind of bivalve; macridiscus melanaegis), academic journals and research

reports by the experiment and research institute of the relevant prefectures can be used to confirm the biological knowledge.

- 1) Assessment of Fishery Resources in Japan http://abchan.fra.go.jp/digests2021/index.html
- 2) Status of Fishery Resources in the World <a href="http://kokushi.fra.go.jp/index-2.html">http://kokushi.fra.go.jp/index-2.html</a>

### 2.3 Consideration of catches by non-target fisheries and resilience

Requirement: 2.3			
The assessment of the current status	s and trends of the stock under consideration shall take into		
account the total fishing mortality ca	used by other fisheries utilizing the stock under consideration		
within the distribution area of the sto	ck under consideration, as well as resilience of the stock.		
Indicator: (a)			
(a) Whether the assessment of the ste	ock under consideration considers trend and status on catch by		
fishery of which the unit of certif	fication is a part and others and this assessment considers total		
fishing mortality on that stock	from all sources such as discards, incidental mortality and		
catches in all fisheries over its er	ntire area of distribution.		
$\Box$ Data on the trend and status b	by fishery of which the unit of certification is a part		
☐ Data on the trend and status b	y other fisheries		
<b>Evaluation: (a)</b>			
Major non-conformity	Not exist		
Minor non-conformity	Exist with partial lack of evidence		
Observation	Observation		
• Conformity Exist			
Indicator: (b)			
(b) Whether management measures for the stock under consideration consider the impacts on the			
stock under consideration of all the fisheries utilizing that stock under consideration over its			
entire area of distribution.			
☐ Consideration of the impact	s on the stock under consideration of all the fisheries utilizing		
that stock under consideration over its entire area of distribution			
Evaluation: (b)			
Major non-conformity	Not considered		
Minor non-conformity	Considered with partial lack of evidence		
Observation	Observation Considered but required to be improved		
Conformity     Considered			
Indicator: (c)			
(c) Whether the assessment of the current status and trends of the stock under consideration takes			
into account the structure and composition of that stock which contribute to its resilience.			
☐ Consideration of the structure and composition of that stock which contribute to its			
resilience.	resilience.		
<b>Evaluation: (c)</b>			

Major non-conformity	Not considered
Minor non-conformity	Considered with partial lack of evidence
Observation	Considered but required to be improved
Conformity	Considered

### **Guidance for Auditors:**

The requirement 2.3 can be assessed by confirming whether the "materials showing that the data covering the entire subpopulation are collected."

In Japan, in the case of fish species subject to TAC (total allowable catch) which are shown in the Fisheries Stock Assessment in the waters around Japan, etc., as for the indicators 2.3(a) and (b), the stock assessment and the ABC (Allowable Biological Catch) formulation, are carried out by subpopulation, so that data and influences other than the applicants are naturally taken into consideration. As for the indicator 2.3(c), a future forecast of stock amounts after implementation of new allotments is calculated, and it is designed to ensure resilience of stocks.

Even if the applicant is engaged in such small-scale fisheries as harvesting abalone, lobster, etc., as for the indicators 2.3(a) and (b), there may be a problem of the geographical range of each subpopulation but data on catch volume etc. are collected nationwide through the official statistics by the Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries. In addition, as for the indicator 2.3 (c) as well, restricted length based on the matured size and restriction on capture fishery during spawning season are formulated by each prefecture, and maintaining stocks are considered and stocks are recovered. However, if the stock under consideration is not a major biological stock, it is necessary to confirm whether an independent survey is conducted.

- 1) Assessment of Fishery Resources in Japan http://abchan.fra.go.jp/digests2021/index.html
- Status of Fishery Resources in the World http://kokushi.fra.go.jp/index-2.html

### 2.4 Disclosure of stock assessment and results

### Requirement: 2.4

Assessment of the current status and tends of the stock under consideration shall be conducted based on the data and information collected, and management decisions shall be made accordingly taking into account the assessment results. The methodology and results of the assessment shall be made publicly available in a timely manner.

### Indicator: (a)

- (a) Whether an assessment is conducted with the best scientific evidence available. Further, whether an adaptive management with precautionary approach is implemented with regard to the result of the assessment.
  - ☐ Implementation of a assessment with the best scientific evidence available
  - ☐ Implementation of the adaptive management with precautionary approach based on the assessment above

### **Evaluation: (a)**

- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Major non-conformity	Not implemented			
Minor non-conformity	Implemented with partial lack of evidence			
• Observation	Implemented but required to be improved			
• Conformity	Implemented			

### **Indicator: (b)**

- (b) Whether the assessment is reflected in decision-making process to formulate a stock management guideline and a stock management plan.
  - ☐ Existence of a report or minutes showing the reflection

### **Evaluation: (b)**

Major non-conformity	Not exist			
Minor non-conformity	Exist with partial lack of evidence			
Observation	Exist but required to be improved			
Conformity	Exist			

### **Indicator: (c)**

(c) Whether the fishery management organization or arrangement receives and responds in a timely manner the best scientific evidence available related to the status of the stock under consideration and the likelihood and magnitude of adverse impacts of the unit of certification on the stock under consideration and the ecosystem, and the fishery management organization or arrangement convenes regularly, as needed, to manage the integrated process of information collection, stock assessment, planning, formulation of the management objectives and targets, establishing management measures and enforcement of fishery rules and regulations.

☐ Existence of a comprehensive fishery management organization or arrangement which					
_	receives and responds in a timely manner the best scientific evidence available				
☐ Existence of a fish	☐ Existence of a fishery management organization or arrangement which conducts				
comprehensive fishery	management.				
Evaluation: (c)					
Major non-conformity	Not exist				
Minor non-conformity	Exist with partial lack of evidence				
Observation	Exist but required to be improved				
Conformity	Exist				
Indicator: (d)					
(d) Whether the methodology and results of assessments of the current status and trends of the					
stock under consideration are available to the public in a timely manner.					
☐ Disclosure of the meth	☐ Disclosure of the methodology and results of assessments of the current status and trends				
of the stock under consideration					
Evaluation: (d)					
Major non-conformity	Not disclosed				
Minor non-conformity	Disclosed with partial lack of evidence				
Observation	Disclosed but required to be improved				
Conformity Disclosed					

### **Guidance for Auditors:**

The requirement 2.4 can be assessed by confirming the "materials showing whether the stock assessment on the target subpopulation is conducted and its results are disclosed."

In MEL, the "Best Scientific Evidence Available" is defined as the information disclosed in the "Fisheries Stock Assessment in the waters around Japan" and "Trend of Stock under consideration" made and disclosed every year by the official committee meeting comprised of domestic scientists, fishers and administrative officers, and in "the Current Status of Stock under consideration" compiled by the Scientific Committee of Regional Fisheries Management Body or its equivalent scientific advice or the fishers' or regional traditional knowledge which is objectively verifiable.

The examination results of the above committee are also disclosed every year on the website of Fisheries Agency in Japan. Therefore, the reports can be retrospectively confirmed on the website of Fisheries Agency on the indicators of the Checklist (a),(b),(c) and (d) for the stock under consideration subject to TAC.

Furthermore, in a management body where the applicant runs small-scale regional fishery and

where on a voluntary basis in addition to those on the national and prefectural basis, although the catch volume recovers considerably thanks to voluntary restrictions, such information is disclosed by researchers in many cases as there is little for the management body itself to enjoy any merit from information disclosure. In such a body, the matters such as the indicator of the Checklist (a) validity of applied reference point, the indicators (b) and (c) validity of its own management objectives and management guidelines, and the indicator (d) validity of substitute stock assessment by such means as annual fluctuation of CPUE are confirmed not only with the materials such as reports of scientific examination but also with operation rules describing a precautionary and adaptive operation system where the operation is suspended under such unexpected poor catch as shown in the item 1.2.6 or fish catch records and the like.

### **Examples of Evidence:**

1) About TAC: Fisheries Agency

https://www.jfa.maff.go.jp/j/suisin/index.html

2) Minutes of meetings about TAC: Fisheries Policy Council, Fisheries Adjustment Commissions and Fisheries Agency.

https://www.jfa.maff.go.jp/j/council/seisaku/honkaigi/index.html

https://www.jfa.maff.go.jp/j/suisin/s kouiki/index.html

3) Minutes of meetings about TAC: Public hearing from fishers and other stakeholders.

https://www.jfa.maff.go.jp/j/suisin/s koukan/index.html

4) Assessment of Fishery Resources in Japan

http://abchan.fra.go.jp/digests2021/index.html

5) Status of Fishery Resources in the World

https://kokushi.fra.go.jp/index-2.html

### 2.5 Establishment of stock management measures

### Requirement: 2.5 There shall be publicly-defined target and limit reference points, or proxies for the stock under consideration set on the basis of the best scientific evidence available, in order to maintain or recover the stock at levels consistent with achieving Maximum Sustainable Yields (MSY) or a suitable proxy. Indicator: (a) (a) Whether stock under consideration and "limit reference point" or a suitable proxy are defined with precautionary approach and based on the best scientific evidence available in the management objectives. In addition, whether the "target reference point" is set to achieve the MSY or a suitable proxy in average and the "limit reference point" is defined to avoid recruitment overfishing and irreversible or very slowly reversible influence. ☐ Existence of the appropriate definitions of stock under consideration and "limit reference point," "target reference point" or those substitute proxies under the management objectives **Evaluation: (a)** · Major non-conformity Not exist Minor non-conformity Exist with partial lack of evidence Observation Exist but required to be improved Conformity Exist **Indicator: (b)** (b) Whether the management objectives and management measures to achieve the management objectives exist based on the Best Scientific Evidence Available and consistent with the long term sustainable use of the fisheries resources under management and management measures to achieve the management objectives exist. ☐ Existence of management objectives (including those equivalent thereto) ☐ Existence of management measures (including those equivalent thereto) **Evaluation: (b)** Not exist Major non-conformity Minor non-conformity Exist with partial lack of evidence Observation Exist but required to be improved Conformity Exist Indicator: (c) (c) Whether outcome indicators exist to achieve management objectives of the stock under

proxies for the sustainable fisheries.

consideration concerning "limit reference point," "target reference point" or those substitute

☐ Existence of outcome indicators (including those equivalent thereto)					
Evaluation: (c)	is (meruang more equivalent mereco)				
Major non-conformity	Not exist				
Minor non-conformity	Exist with partial lack of evidence				
Observation	Exist but required to be improved				
Conformity	Exist				
Indicator: (d)					
	cale and/or data limited fisheries, fisheries governance and				
	sheries are prepared, with due consideration to the availability				
	ment systems can differ substantially for different types and				
scales of fisheries.					
☐ Existence of small-scale fisheri	es or data limited fisheries				
☐ Existence of a management sys	tem for small-scale fisheries and data limited fisheries				
Evaluation: (d)					
Major non-conformity	Not exist				
Minor non-conformity	Exist with partial lack of evidence				
Observation	Exist but required to be improved				
• Conformity	Exist				
Not applicable	Not classified into small-scale nor data limited fisheries				
Indicator: (e)					
(e) Whether, in the case of small-scale and/or data limited fisheries, the knowledge of traditional					
fisheries, fishers and fishery regions is objectively verified and applied into the fisheries					
management system.	management system.				
☐ Existence of verification met	Existence of verification methods of the knowledge of traditional fisheries, fishers and				
fishery regions is objectively					
Evaluation: (e)					
Major non-conformity	Not exist				
Minor non-conformity	Exist with partial lack of evidence				
Observation	Exist but required to be improved				
• Conformity	Exist				
Not applicable	Not classified into small-scale nor data limited fisheries				
Guidance for Auditors:					
The requirement 2.5 can be assessed by confirming the "materials showing that the target reference					
point such as MSY and limit reference	e point or scientific substitute level are disclosed".				

Japan's revised Fishery Act establishes target reference points and limit reference points based on MSY theory. These reference values are of two types: those related to the stock level, such as SSB (Spawning Stock Biomass), and those related to fishing pressure, such as Fishing Coefficient. In 2.5 (a), target reference points and limit reference points for stock levels, and target reference points for fishing pressure need to be confirmed. These values are necessary for drawing the Kobe Plot, and the Kobe Plot (or an alternative such as the Majuro Plot) is desirable, to explain the balance between the fishery as the catcher and the resource as the caught to interested parties in a way that is easy to understand. In particular, the limit reference point for stock level is indispensable because it is the criterion to determine whether the stock under consideration is overfished or not in Indicator 2.7.

For fish species for which ABCs are established based on the revised Fishery Act, reference points and ABCs are calculated based on the "Basic Rules for Calculating ABCs (Appendix 1)". This rule establishes the Harvest Control Rule for determining ABC based on the precautionary approach. The "management measures" to be confirmed in 2.5 (b) are these Harvest Control Rules. The three points to be confirmed are: 1) the existence of the Harvest Control Rule, 2) the long-term achievement target of the Harvest Control Rule (e.g., SSB above MSY level), and 3) the scientific basis for the effectiveness of the Harvest Control Rule (e.g., future projections).

In determining the harvest control rules for the above-mentioned fish species, the Fisheries Policy Council and the Stakeholders' meetings deliberated and decided on harvest control rules such that "have an 80% or greater probability of exceeding the target reference point for the spawning stock biomass in 10 years". The outcome indicators to be confirmed in (c) above are the medium-term results such as "the amount of spawning stock biomass exceeding the target reference point in 10 years," as indicated in the parentheses above.

For fish species subject to TAC as stipulated in the revised Fishery Act, (a) and (b) can be confirmed in the "Fishery Resource Assessment of Waters Surrounding Japan" and (c) in the "Fisheries Policy Deliberation Documents". TACs for international resources managed by regional fisheries management organizations are also usually established through the same procedures, and can be confirmed using the annual reports of the regional fisheries management organizations cited in "Current Status of International Fisheries Resources". As for salmons as well, in order to maintain the historical high level, the remaining amount of parent fish required for egg collection is set as the allowable catch amount (return amount - required parent fish amount), and this required parent fish amount = limit reference point = target reference point.

Resources that are not directly managed by the government under the revised Fishery Act, such as

resources that are ubiquitous in local areas, are not included in the "Fishery Resource Assessment of Waters around Japan". In such cases, it is required for the applicant to collect the following materials so that the assessment and review can be conducted in accordance with the above.

- 1) Published stock assessments (research reports by prefectural experiment and research institutes, etc., and articles published in academic journals) (It is desirable to develop management reference points based on the "Basic Rules for ABC Calculation" and to draw Kobe plots)
- 2) Stock assessments that are unpublished but can be accessed by permission or application (e.g., papers that require permission to cite the author, such as documents of international conferences) (It is desirable to develop management reference points based on the "Basic Rules for ABC Calculation" and to draw Kobe plots).
- 3) If the absolute value of the resource is not estimated, the CPUE or other management reference points and academic papers that demonstrate their scientific validity (e.g. simulations using operating models)

### **Examples of Evidence:**

1) Basic rules to calculate ABC

http://abchan.fra.go.jp/reference/FRA-SA2022-ABCWG02-02.pdf

http://abchan.fra.go.jp/references list.html

2) Assessment of Fishery Resources in Japan

http://abchan.fra.go.jp/digests2021/index.html

3) Status of Fishery Resources in the World

https://kokushi.fra.go.jp/index-2.html

5) Number of catches, collecting eggs and releases in each river. Hokkaido National Fisheries Research Institute, The National Research and Development Agency, Japan Fisheries Research and Education Agency (FRA)

http://salmon.fra.affrc.go.jp/zousyoku/river/river.htm

### 2.6 Compliance with TAC

### Requirement: 2.6

In applicable cases, the total allowable catch (TAC) system shall be complied by the unit of certification.

### Indicator: (a)

- (a) Whether TAC system is compiled if such system is implemented and complied.
  - ☐ Compliance with TAC

### **Evaluation: (a)**

Major non-conformity	The TAC system is not complied.
Minor non-conformity	N/A
Observation	N/A
Conformity	The TAC system is complied.
Not applicable	The TAC system is not applicable.

### **Guidance for Auditors:**

The requirement 2.6 can be assessed by confirming the "materials such as official fisheries statistics showing compliance with TAC".

As the Fisheries Agency supervises TAC under the national laws of Japan and the catch results and discloses the results thereof every year, these disclosed data are confirmed. As TAC is allocated to, and managed under, each type of fisheries, compliance with the allocated amount by the fishery to which the applicant belongs is also confirmed with this material.

If TAC is set for the fish species other than those for which TAC is set under the national laws, e.g. red snow crab and coast flying fish fishery, the items are confirmed by reference to the materials such as records of "tripartite council of red snow crab industry" as TAC is not disclosed on the website for such regionally distributed stock.

### **Examples of Evidence:**

- 1) Report: Number of catches. Fisheries Agency.
  - https://www.jfa.maff.go.jp/j/suisin/#link6
- 2) Report: Number of catches, collecting eggs and releases in each river. Hokkaido National Fisheries Research Institute, The National Research and Development Agency, Japan Fisheries Research and Education Agency (FRA)

http://salmon.fra.affrc.go.jp/zousyoku/river/river.htm

3) Regional management of Red Snow Crab: Fisheries Agency.

http://www.jfa.maff.go.jp/j/suisin/s\_kouiki/nihonkai/attach/pdf/index-8.pdf

### 2.7 Prevention of overfishing

Requirement: 2.7		
The stock under consideration is not overfished. In the event that the status of the stock drops below		
levels at which remedial actions should be undertaken, necessary measures shall be implemented		
in a timely manner in order to avoid recruitment overfishing.		
Indicator: (a)		
(a) Regarding stock condition, whether "overfished" is defined.		
☐ Existence of the definition of "overfished" such as reference point (including those		
equivalent thereto)		
Evaluation: (a)		
Major non-conformity	Not exist	
Minor non-conformity	Exist with partial lack of evidence	
Observation	Exist but required to be improved	
Conformity	Exist	
Indicator: (b)		
(b) Whether the stock under consideration is not overfished.		
☐ Status of the stock under consideration		
Evaluation: (b)		
Major non-conformity	Overfished	
Minor non-conformity	Not overfished with partial lack of evidence	
Observation	Not overfished but required to be improved	
Conformity	Not overfished	
Indicator: (c)		
(c) Whether management measures specify the actions to be taken in the event that the status of		
the stock under consideration drops below levels consistent with achieving management		
objectives that allow for the restoration of the stock to such levels within a reasonable time		
frame. This consideration is required to pertain to species introductions or translocations that		
have occurred historically and which have become established as part of the natural ecosystem.		
☐ Preparation of management measures specify the actions to be taken in the event that the		
status of the stock under consideration drops below levels consistent with achieving		
management objectives (including those equivalent thereto).		
Evaluation: (c)		
Major non-conformity	Not prepared	
Minor non-conformity	Prepared with partial lack of evidence	

• Observation	Prepared but required to be improved
• Conformity	Prepared

### **Guidance for Auditors:**

The requirement 2.7 can be assessed by confirming the "materials showing that the overfished condition of the stock under consideration is prevented."

The "threshold for being considered as overfished" in 2.7 (a) is defined in the "Basic Rules for ABC Calculation (Appendix 1)" as a significantly lower sustainable production level due to recruitment overfishing when the parent stock is below its limit reference point. In 2.7 (b), the determination of whether or not the stock under consideration is overfished or not, should be based on the stock level and not catch pressure: whether or not if the spawning stock biomass (or its proxy indicator) falls below the associated limit reference point. 2.7 (c) identifies special recovery measures to be taken when spawning biomass or its proxy indicator falls below the limit reference point. Under the "Basic Rules for Calculating ABC," the ABC decreases exponentially when the stock is below the limit reference point, which means that there are special recovery measures. However, internationally, it is normal for ABC = 0 when below the limit reference point, and the MEL also has a system that does not certify when below the limit reference point.

For fish species subject to TAC as stipulated in the revised Fishery Act, the results of (a) through (c) can be confirmed in the "Fishery Resource Assessment of Waters Surrounding Japan". The TAC for international resources managed by regional fisheries management organizations is also usually established through the same procedures, and can be confirmed using the "Current Status of International Fisheries Resources" and the annual reports of the regional fisheries management organizations cited in the above.

Furthermore, in a management body where the applicant runs small-scale regional coastal fishery and where restriction is imposed on a voluntary basis in addition to those on the national and prefectural basis, various substitute measures are taken to avoid recruitment overfishing. The examples include 1) discontinuing fishing activities even during fishing season if CPUE becomes below a certain value (sand launce), and 2) having a certain number of parent fish escape (salmon) to secure the Spawning Stock Biomass. If only MSY is the management objective, limit reference point is treated as equals to (=) target reference point. In addition, the permanent preserve, similar to the Marine Protected Area (MPA), designated all over the country targeting abalone and the like is a measure to prevent overfishing in a sense that a certain level of Spawning Stock Biomass is secured. In the farming type of rotational harvesting of scallop, which becomes mature at the age of one, three demarcated areas comprised of mature population at the age of one to three are

protected in the four rotational harvesting schemes.

### **Examples of Evidence:**

1) Basic rules to calculate ABC

 $\underline{http://abchan.fra.go.jp/reference/FRA-SA2022-ABCWG02-02.pdf}$ 

http://abchan.fra.go.jp/references list.html

2) Assessment of Fishery Resources in Japan

http://abchan.fra.go.jp/digests2021/index.html

3) Status of Fishery Resources in the World

https://kokushi.fra.go.jp/index-2.html

#### 3. Requirements on Consideration for Ecosystem

(Appropriate measures should be taken for conservation of ecosystem.)

In this section, it is checked and confirmed whether efforts are made to reduce the influence which the applicant's fishery directly or indirectly has on population other than the target biological stock or environment.

# 3.1 Establishment of Ecosystem-Conscious Management System

## 3.1.1 Information for assessment of impact on non-target species and ecosystem

# **Requirement: 3.1.1**

Data and/or other information based on the best scientific evidence available covering the following factors shall be collected and maintained in order to assess the impacts of the unit of certification on non-target stocks and ecosystem:

- (1) Catches and discard of non-target stocks
- (2) Impacts of the unit of certification on endangered species, and efforts to conserve and protect those species as well as to avoid by-catch of those species
- (3) Information on the essential habitat for stock under consideration (e.g. spawning and nursery sites)
- (4) Impacts of fishing gear used by the unit of certification on ecosystem (including the seabed)
- (5) Prey-predator relationship of the stock under consideration in the food-web
- (6) Balance of whole ecosystem (i.e. whether there is any severe disturbance by the unit of certification on ecosystem)

#### Indicator: (a)

- (a) Whether adequate, reliable and current data and/or other information of followings exist:
- (1) Assessment of the extent to which non-target catches and discards by the unit of certification of stocks other than the stock under consideration threaten those non-target stocks with recruitment overfishing or other impacts that are likely to be irreversible or very slowly reversible with appropriate related data/information.
- (2) Assessment of the impacts of the unit of certification on endangered species with appropriate related data/information collected in accordance with applicable international standards and practices.
- (3) Assessment of the impacts of the unit of certification on essential habitats for the stock under consideration and on habitats that are highly vulnerable to damage by the fishing gear of the unit of certification in the full spatial range of the relevant habitat, not just that part of the spatial

range that is potentially affected by fishing with appropriate related data/information.

- (4) Assessment of the role of the stock under consideration in the food-web to determine whether it is a key prey species in the ecosystem and severe adverse impacts of fishing on that stock on dependent predators as applicable, with appropriate related data/information.
- (5) Analysis of the effects of the unit of certification on ecosystem structure, processes and function to develop timely scientific advice on the likelihood and magnitude of impacts with appropriate related data/information in accordance with applicable international standards and practices.
  - $\square$  Existence of collected and maintained information referred in (1) (5) above.

Evaluation: (a)	
Major non-conformity	Not exist
Minor non-conformity	Exist with partial lack of evidence
Observation	Exist but required to be improved
Conformity	Exist

#### **Guidance for Auditors:**

Besides the requirements shown in the FMS and indicators shown in the Checklist, further points to note on the information for assessment of impact on non-target species and ecosystem referred in the indicator 3.1.1 are as follows:

# (1) Non-target catches

Among bycatch species, as for landed fish and shellfish other than certified species, records of landing are to be confirmed. As for other bycatch species including release and discards, a detailed species list and bycatch mortality should be compiled, including rare species, from direct surveys or commissioned surveys by the applicant, and the estimated quantity should be confirmed. This table for precautionary measures is essential. In some cases, many reports and previous studies have already been published on common bycatch cases in the fishery under review, and it is important for interested parties to compare and verify the transparency and reliability of the content of the assessment.

# (2) Endangered species

For the endangered species referred in the indicator 3.1.1 (a) (2), a list of internationally designated species and the Red List are available in the Ministry of the Environment. For these species, as a management plan and action guidelines are established by the investigation system of the national as a management body or of the prefecture where the applicant is located, establishment of investigation system is confirmed. Furthermore, the stock assessment of endangered species (such as cetaceans and sea animals) is confirmed with the materials such as the Status of Fishery Stocks in the World.

# (3) Habitat and fishing gears

The requirements 3.1.1 (a) (3) are assessed by confirming the materials such as the "Overview on the ecology of biological stock under consideration" for the indicator 3.1.1 (a) (3), and the management plan of by-caught biological stock and the like for other items. Whether there is a description in such materials as the "Assessment of Fishery Stocks in Japan" is confirmed.

As for the indicator 3.1.1 (a) (3), as the impacts varies by the fishery type, e.g. influence on the seabed by bottom trawling and ghost fishing by lost fishing gears, the details of influence are confirmed in the literature and the like.

# (4) Dependent predators

The requirements are assessed here by confirming such materials as the "General review on the Ecology of the Stock under consideration" and by the by-catch marine lives for the other items. Furthermore, whether or not it is described in the "Fisheries Stock Assessment in the waters around Japan" is confirmed. The feeding habit of rare species (such as cetaceans and sea animals) which may be by-caught is confirmed with the materials such as the Assessment of Fishery Stocks in Japan.

# (5) Ecosystem structure, processes and function

The requirements are assessed by confirming the materials such as the "Overview on the ecology of target biological stock" for the indicator 3.1.1 (5), and the management plan of by-catch of biological stock and the like for other items. The examples of the indicator 3.1.1 (5) include chemical contamination by use of organotin compound and warming by CO2 emissions.

#### **Examples of Evidence:**

- 1) Marine Mammals Information Database. National Museum of Nature and Science. https://www.kahaku.go.jp/research/db/zoology/marmam/index.php
- 2) List of endangered species. Ministry of Environment.

https://www.env.go.jp/nature/kisho/global/list.html

- 3) Red list. Ministry of Environment.
  - https://www.env.go.jp/nature/kisho/hozen/redlist/index.html
- 4) Assessment of Fishery Resources in Japan

http://abchan.fra.go.jp/digests2021/index.html

https://kokushi.fra.go.jp/index-2.html

- 5) Reducing the incidental bycatch of seabirds in longline fisheries. Fisheries Agency. https://www.jfa.maff.go.jp/j/koho/bunyabetsu/pdf/umidori\_keikaku160315\_a.pdf
- 6) Scientific and management framework on the conservation of shark species. Fisheries Agency.

https://www.jfa.maff.go.jp/j/koho/bunyabetsu/pdf/samerui keikaku160315 a.pdf

7) Q & A the incidental bycatch of whales. Fisheries Agency.

https://www.jfa.maff.go.jp/j/whale/w faq/konkaku faq.html

8) Guidelines on management of sea lions. Fisheries Agency.

https://www.jfa.maff.go.jp/j/press/sigen/pdf/140806-01.pdf

9) Guidelines on conservation of sea turtles.

http://www.env.go.jp/nature/kisho/guideline/SeaTurtle Handbook.pdf

10) Management plan of seals in Hokkaido. Hokkaido prefectural office.

https://www.pref.hokkaido.lg.jp/ks/skn/azarashi/kanri.html

https://www.pref.hokkaido.lg.jp/fs/6/0/0/4/7/2/6/\_/%E4%BB%A4%E5%92%8C3%E5%B9
%B4%E5%BA%A6%E4%BA%8B%E6%A5%AD%E5%AE%9F%E6%96%BD%E7%B5
%90%E6%9E%9C%E5%8F%8A%E3%81%B3%E8%A9%95%E4%BE%A1(%E6%9C%8
0%E7%B5%82%E7%89%88)%E2%98%85.pdf

- 11) Guidelines on conservation of endangered species in Hokkaido. Hokkaido prefectural office. <a href="https://www.pref.hokkaido.lg.jp/fs/4/5/8/4/3/5/6/\_/%E5%9F%BA%E6%9C%AC%E6%96%B9%E9%87%9D(HP%E7%89%88).pdf">https://www.pref.hokkaido.lg.jp/fs/4/5/8/4/3/5/6/\_/%E5%9F%BA%E6%9C%AC%E6%96%B9%E9%87%9D(HP%E7%89%88).pdf</a>
- 12) Management plan of brown bear in Hokkaido. Hokkaido prefectural office.

  <a href="https://www.pref.hokkaido.lg.jp/fs/6/0/1/3/3/2/1/\_/%E5%8C%97%E6%B5%B7%E9%81%93%E3%83%92%E3%82%B0%E3%83%9E%E7%AE%A1%E7%90%86%E8%A8%88%E7%94%BB(%E7%AC%AC2%E6%9C%9F).pdf">https://www.pref.hokkaido.lg.jp/fs/6/0/1/3/3/2/1/\_/%E5%8C%97%E6%B5%B7%E9%81%93%E3%83%92%E3%82%B0%E3%83%9E%E7%AE%A1%E7%90%86%E8%A8%88%E7%94%BB(%E7%AC%AC2%E6%9C%9F).pdf</a>
- 13) Population of brown bear. Hokkaido prefectural office.

https://www.pref.hokkaido.lg.jp/fs/6/0/1/3/3/3/1/\_/kotaisukanri.pdf

14) Habitat of brown bear. Hokkaido prefectural office. http://www.biodic.go.jp/reports/2-6/ad087.html

15) Development of Trawl Fishing Technology to Mitigate Impacts on Marine Ecosystem.

Nagasaki University.

https://www.jstage.jst.go.jp/article/suisan/73/5/73 5 835/ pdf

16) Ghost Fishing by Lost Trap. Fisheries Research Agency.

https://www.jstage.jst.go.jp/article/suisan/72/5/72 5 930/ pdf

17) Estimation of Fuel Oil Consumptions and CO2 Emission from Japanese Fishing Vessels.

National Research Institute of Fisheries Engineering.

https://agriknowledge.affrc.go.jp/RN/2010792523.pdf

18) International Convention on the Control of Harmful Anti-Fouling Systems on Ships. Ministry of Foreign Affairs.

https://www.mofa.go.jp/mofaj/gaiko/treaty/pdfs/treaty156 4a.pdf

## 3.1.2 Consideration for ecosystem

#### Requirement: 3.1.2

The unit of certification shall be operated in ways to minimize adverse impacts on non-target stocks and ecosystem, taking into account the assessment results of above 3.1.1(a) (1) - (5).

#### Indicator: (a)

- (a) Whether the unit of certification operates the fishery with consideration to avoid, minimize or mitigate the adverse impacts on non-target stocks, endangered species and ecosystem with following management objectives and outcome indicators (including those equivalent thereto), taking into account the assessment results of 3.1.1.
- (1) Management objectives that seek to ensure that non-target catches and discards by the unit of certification of stocks other than the stock under consideration does not threaten those nontarget stocks with recruitment overfishing or other impacts that are likely to be irreversible or very slowly reversible and outcome indicators consistent with achieving the management objectives.
- (2) Management objectives that seek to ensure that endangered species are protected from adverse impacts resulting from interactions with the unit of certification, including recruitment overfishing or other impacts that are likely to be irreversible or very slowly reversible and outcome indicators consistent with the achieving management objectives.
- (3) Management objectives seeking to avoid, minimize or mitigate impacts of the unit of certification on essential habitats for the stock under consideration and on habitats that are highly vulnerable to damage by the fishing gear of the unit of certification and outcome indicators consistent with achieving the management objectives.
- (4) Management objectives that seek to avoid severe adverse impacts on dependent predators resulting from fishing on a stock under consideration that is a key prey species and outcome indicators consistent with achieving the management objectives.
- (5) Management objectives that seek to minimize adverse impacts of the unit of certification on the structure, processes and function of aquatic ecosystems that are likely to be irreversible or very slowly reversible and outcome indicators consistent with achieving management objectives, considered that any modifications to the habitat for enhancing the stock under consideration must be reversible and not cause serious or irreversible harm to the natural ecosystem's structure, processes and function.
  - Existence of management objectives and outcome indicators above including those equivalent thereto (information/data on non-target species, ecosystem)

Evaluation: (a)	
Major non-conformity	Not exist

Minor non-conformity	Exist with partial lack of evidence		
Observation	Exist but required to be improved		
• Conformity	Exist		
Indicator: (b)			
(b) Whether management measures designed to achieve	ieve the management objectives referred in		
3.1.2 (a) (1) – (5) and management measures that n	ninimize unwanted catch and discards, where		
appropriate, and reduce post-released mortality w	here incidental catch is unavoidable exist.		
Existence of appropriate management measure	s above.		
Evaluation: (b)			
Major non-conformity	Not Exist		
Minor non-conformity	Exist but required to be improved		
Observation	Exist with partial lack of evidence		
• Conformity	Exist		
Not applicable	N/A		
Indicator: (c)			
(c) Whether the methodology and results of the analy	vsis of the most probable adverse impacts of		
the unit of certification on the ecosystem are m	the unit of certification on the ecosystem are made publicly available in a timely manner,		
respecting confidentiality where appropriate.			
☐ Disclosure of the information on the impact of the unit of certification on the ecosystem			
Evaluation: (c)			
Major non-conformity	Not disclosed.		
Minor non-conformity	Disclosed with partial lack of evidence		
Observation	Disclosed but required to be improved		
• Conformity	Disclosed		
Not applicable	N/A		
Guidance for Auditors:			
Besides the requirements shown in the FMS and indicators shown in the Checklist, followings are			
required to be considered:			
The requirement is assessed by confirming compliance with the "guidelines on management of			
endangered species and the like."			
As for seabirds, cetaceans and marine mammals and the like, the requirements are confirmed with			

such materials as a management plan and management guidelines.

It is confirmed whether the management plan of such species as sea birds and sharks caught as bycatch in the longline fishery is established and implemented by the State or the prefectures where the applicant is located.

As for cetaceans, it is confirmed whether or not any instruction for handling the case in cetacean's entering set net are provided by the State as a management body.

As for marine mammals, some population is increasing by protection. In case damage to fisheries caused by such species is increasing and threatening survival of fisheries, it is confirmed whether or not such adaptive management plan as enabling marine capture fisheries and harmful animals to coexist is established and implemented by the State as a management body or by the prefecture where the applicant is located.

For sea turtles, the requirements are confirmed by materials showing compliance with the regulations such as the Act on the Protection of Fishery Stocks and the Fisheries Adjustment Act of the prefecture (where the Applicant is located) as a management body.

It is confirmed that no organotin compound regulated internationally is used on the ship's bottom or fish nets. It is confirmed whether energy-saving facilities and LED are installed for CO2 reduction as necessary.

## **Examples of Evidence:**

- 1) Reducing the incidental bycatch of seabirds in longline fisheries. Fisheries Agency. https://www.jfa.maff.go.jp/j/koho/bunyabetsu/pdf/umidori\_keikaku160315\_a.pdf
- 2) Scientific and management framework on the conservation of shark species. Fisheries Agency. <a href="https://www.jfa.maff.go.jp/j/koho/bunyabetsu/pdf/samerui\_keikaku160315\_a.pdf">https://www.jfa.maff.go.jp/j/koho/bunyabetsu/pdf/samerui\_keikaku160315\_a.pdf</a>
- 3) Q & A the incidental bycatch of whales. Fisheries Agency. https://www.jfa.maff.go.jp/j/whale/w faq/konkaku faq.html
- 4) Guidelines on management of sea lions. Fisheries Agency. https://www.jfa.maff.go.jp/j/press/sigen/pdf/140806-01.pdf
- 5) Guidelines on conservation of sea turtles.

  https://www.env.go.jp/nature/kisho/guideline/SeaTurtle Handbook.pdf
- 6) Management plan of seals in Hokkaido. Hokkaido prefectural office.

https://www.pref.hokkaido.lg.jp/ks/skn/azarashi/kanri.html
https://www.pref.hokkaido.lg.jp/fs/6/0/0/4/7/2/6/\_/%E4%BB%A4%E5%92%8C3%E5%B9
%B4%E5%BA%A6%E4%BA%8B%E6%A5%AD%E5%AE%9F%E6%96%BD%E7%B5

<u>%90%E6%9E%9C%E5%8F%8A%E3%81%B3%E8%A9%95%E4%BE%A1(%E6%9C%8</u> <u>0%E7%B5%82%E7%89%88)%E2%98%85.pdf</u>

7) Guidelines on conservation of endangered species in Hokkaido. Hokkaido prefectural office. <a href="https://www.pref.hokkaido.lg.jp/fs/4/5/8/4/3/5/6/\_/%E5%9F%BA%E6%9C%AC%E6%96%B9%E9%87%9D(HP%E7%89%88).pdf">https://www.pref.hokkaido.lg.jp/fs/4/5/8/4/3/5/6/\_/%E5%9F%BA%E6%9C%AC%E6%96%B9%E9%87%9D(HP%E7%89%88).pdf</a>

## 3.1.3 Conservation of environment at the fishing ground and habitat

#### Requirement: 3.1.3

Applicants shall contribute to conserving environment which covers fishing ground and habitat of the stock under consideration.

#### Indicator: (a)

(a) Whether the applicant, which operates the unit of certification, contributes to conserving environment which covers fishing ground and habitat for the stock under consideration.

#### Examples:

Conservation of a seaweed bed or a tidal flat, environmental beautification and conservation of a coastal zone, conservation of a river or lake ecosystem, environmental conservation during fishing activities

☐ Contribution of the applicant for environmental conservation at the fishing grounds and the habitat for the stock under consideration

#### Evaluation: (a)

Major non-conformity	Not contributing
Minor non-conformity	Contributing with partial lack of evidence
Observation	Contributing but required to be improved
Conformity	Contributing

#### **Guidance for Auditors:**

The requirement 3.1.3 is assessed by confirming "records on habitat conservation activities" and other documents.

There are various instances showing contributions in coastal fishery. Examples of the contributions include self-restraint in the use of neutral detergents, removal of beach waste and drifting waste on the ocean, recovery of heavy oil drifting ashore due to an oil spill accident, Afforestation in the upper reaches of a river, holding of training or learning sessions on environmental conservation, and seaweed bed raising activities.

#### **Examples of Evidence:**

1) Activities considering environment. Kyoto prefectural office.

https://www.pref.kyoto.jp/suiji/12400011.html

# 3.2 Consideration of ecosystem in the associated fish farming and resource enhancement

# 3.2.1 Production of artificial seedlings considering the ecosystem

Requirement: 3.2.1			
Production and release of artificial seedling	ngs shall be conducted with due regard for the maintenance		
of the species characteristics and genetic	diversity.		
Indicator: (a)			
(a) Whether permission (permission f	or private use, water rights, etc.) necessary for seed		
production is acquired.			
☐ Acquisition of permission or lice	enses for seed production facilities, wherein consideration		
for the natural environment is re	equired.		
Evaluation: (a)			
Major non-conformity	No permission is acquired.		
Minor non-conformity	N/A		
Observation	N/A		
• Conformity	The permission id acquired.		
Not applicable	No associated fish farming nor resource enhancement		
Indicator: (b)			
(b) The subpopulation of released artific	cial seedlings is considered and measures are taken for the		
preservation of genetic diversity.	preservation of genetic diversity.		
☐ Measures for preservation of the subpopulation (such as transplant release)			
☐ Measures for preservation of genetic diversity (such as the management of number of			
parent fish)			
Evaluation: (b)			
Major non-conformity	No measures are implemented.		
· Minor non-conformity	Although measures are implemented, there is a portion		
with no evidence.			
• Observation	Although the measures are implemented, there is a room		
for improvement.			
• Conformity	The measures are implemented.		
· Not applicable	No associated fish farming nor resource enhancement		
Indicator: (c)			
(c) Whether the parent fish used for seed production has no record of subculture, and the applicant			
takes measures to use the parent fish with clear capture record.			
☐ The parent fish with capture record			

☐ The parent fish with no record of subculture		
Evaluation: (c)		
Major non-conformity	No measures are implemented.	
Minor non-conformity	Although measures are implemented, there is a portion	
with no evidence.		
• Observation	Although the measures are implemented, there is a room	
for improvement.		
• Conformity	The measures are implemented.	
Not applicable	No associated fish farming nor resource enhancement	
Indicator: (d)		
(d) Whether the records of release (th	e number of releases, timing, size, etc.) are collected. Whether	
appropriate release methods (rele	ased size, appropriate growth stage, etc.) are implemented.	
☐ Record of release data (the n	umber of releases, release date, size, etc.)	
☐ Considerations of appropriat	e release methods (growth stage, etc.)	
Evaluation: (d)		
Major non-conformity	No measures are implemented.	
Minor non-conformity	Although measures are implemented, there is a portion	
with no evidence.		
• Observation	Although the measures are implemented, there is a room	
	for improvement.	
• Conformity	The measures are implemented.	
Not applicable	No associated fish farming nor resource enhancement.	
Indicator: (e)		
(e) Whether measures are taken to pr	revent the spread of disease.	
☐ System for diagnosis of fish	disease	
☐ Measures taken to prevent the spread of fish disease		
Evaluation: (e)		
Major non-conformity	No measures are implemented.	
Minor non-conformity	Although measures are implemented, there is a portion	
with no evidence.		
Observation	Although the measures are implemented, there is a room	
	for improvement.	
• Conformity	The measures are implemented.	
Not applicable	No associated fish farming nor resource enhancement	
Guidance for Auditors:		

The requirement 3.2.1 is assessed by confirming "materials on the seed production facility's organization and performance records."

The indicator of the Checklist (a) is confirmed with permission for the seed production facility and other documents of the facility.

The indicator of the Checklist (b) is confirmed with the fact that the subpopulation is determined based on generic data and that the release is conducted based on determined results to maintain the subpopulation. In the case of salmon, it is confirmed with the survey and research report whether the monitoring of the number of salmons going upstream in the rivers only for natural spawning is conducted.

The indicator of the Checklist (c) is confirmed with production records on whether the seed is produced in accordance with Technical Guidelines to Reduce Impacts on Generic Diversity regarding Release of Artificial Seedlings. In the case of salmon, whether the seedlings are produced to maintain the race (subpopulation) of the river is confirmed.

The indicator of the Checklist (d) is confirmed with the statistical data.

The indicator of the Checklist (e) is confirmed with manuals that describes a management system (reporting in the event of an outbreak of fish disease and measures to be taken thereafter) that is adequately performed by an expert of fish disease or a person qualified as a fish epidemic prevention specialist (certified by the Japan Fisheries Resource Conservation Association).

#### **Examples of Evidence:**

- 1) Permit of seeding production. Blueprint of hatchery.
- 2) Genetic structure of chum salmon populations in Japan. Hokkaido National Fisheries Research Institute, Fisheries Research Agency

https://www.fra.affrc.go.jp/bulletin/bull/bull39/39-04.pdf

3) Distribution of naturally spawning chum salmon populations in Hokkaido. Salmon and Freshwater Fisheries Research Institute.

https://www.hro.or.jp/list/fisheries/marine/att/o7u1kr0000000r0w.pdf

4) Monitoring report of salmon. Shiretoko White Paper. Shiretomo Data Center. Ministry of Environment.

http://shiretoko-whc.com/data/research/annual\_report/h23/ap4\_11.html

5) Guidelines on Release of Artificial Seeds. The National Research and Development Agency. Japan Fisheries Research and Education Agency. Fisheries Agency.

https://www.jfa.maff.go.jp/j/koho/bunyabetsu/pdf/identeki tayousei sisin.pdf

6) Resource evaluation of subpopulation of halibut in the North Pacific in 2018. Tohoku National Fisheries Research Institute. Fisheries Research Agency

http://abchan.fra.go.jp/digests28/details/2857.pdf

- 7) Stock enhancement of halibut in the North Pacific. Fisheries Research Agency <a href="http://www.yutakanaumi.jp/pdf/saibai/2-2.pdf">http://www.yutakanaumi.jp/pdf/saibai/2-2.pdf</a>
- 8) Annual report of major prefectures (Salmon). The National Research and Development Agency. Japan Fisheries Research and Education Agency. Fisheries Agency.

http://salmon.fra.affrc.go.jp/zousyoku/result/result.htm

9) Number of catches, collecting eggs and releases in each river. Hokkaido National Fisheries Research Institute, The National Research and Development Agency, Japan Fisheries Research and Education Agency (FRA)

http://salmon.fra.affrc.go.jp/zousyoku/fri salmon dept/river.html

- 10) Manual on diagnosis of specific disease. Japan Fisheries Resource Conservation Association. http://www.fish-jfrca.jp/02/pdf/H28diagnosticmanual.pdf
- 11) Manual on investigation of pathogen in salmon. The National Research and Development Agency, Japan Fisheries Research and Education Agency

http://nria.fra.affrc.go.jp/sindan/kenkyu/pdf/sakemasu\_yagai.pdf

# 3.2.2 Establishment of management objectives and measures for maintaining naturally reproduced population

Requirement: 3.2.2			
Management objectives shall be dev	eloped to maintain the natural reproductive stock components		
of the stock under consideration a	at a sustainable level, and management measures shall be		
implemented that are consistent with	achieving these management objectives.		
Indicator: (a)			
(a) Whether such measures as tagg	(a) Whether such measures as tagging of released fish enable individual assessment of released		
and naturally-reproduced populations and hence the effect of releasing is assessed. (Whether			
the naturally-reproduced popula	tion is assessed)		
☐ The effect of releasing is as	sessed by taking such measures as tagging of released fish		
Evaluation: (a)			
Major non-conformity	The effect of release is not assessed.		
Minor non-conformity     Although the effect of releasing is assessed, there is a portion			
with no evidence.			
Observation			
for improvement.			
Conformity The effect of release is assessed.			
Not applicable	No associated fish farming nor resource enhancement		
Indicator: (b)			
(b) Whether management objective	es for avoiding significant negative impacts of enhancement		
activities on the natural reproduc	ctive stock component of the stock under consideration and any		
other wild stocks from which the organisms for stocking are being removed and management			
measures designed to achieve the management objectives exist.			
☐ Existence of management objectives, management measures (including those equivalent			
thereto)			
Evaluation: (b)			
Major non-conformity     Not Exist			
Minor non-conformity	Exist with partial lack of evidence		
• Observation	Exist but required to be improved		
• Conformity	Exist		
Not applicable	No associated fish farming nor resource enhancement		
Indicator: (c)			
(c) Whether efforts to assess and conserve habitat environment are undertaken in order to maintain			

the naturally-reproduced population.		
☐ Efforts for conserving the habitat		
Evaluation: (c)		
Major non-conformity	No measures are undertaken.	
Minor non-conformity	Although measures are undertaken, there is a portion with no evidence.	
Observation	Although the measures are undertaken, there is a room for improvement.	
Conformity	The measures are undertaken.	
Not applicable     No associated fish farming nor resource enhancement		

#### **Guidance for Auditors:**

The requirement 3.2.2 is assessed by confirming survey reports on "releasing effect" and "releasing plan."

The indicator of the Checklist (a) is confirmed over whether the estimation is conducted over the by-catch ratio of the fish released with tagging recaptured.

The indicators of the Checklist (b) and (c) are confirmed with survey reports that in the case of salmon, the river only for natural spawning where no releasing is conducted is preserved (zoning), or efforts are undertaken to secure and develop a spawning bed for natural spawning in a river where wild and released populations coexist.

# **Examples of Evidence:**

1) Resource evaluation of subpopulation of halibut in the North Pacific in 2018. Tohoku National Fisheries Research Institute. Fisheries Research Agency

http://abchan.fra.go.jp/digests28/details/2857.pdf

- 2) Stock enhancement of halibut in the North Pacific. Fisheries Research Agency <a href="http://www.yutakanaumi.jp/pdf/saibai/2-2.pdf">http://www.yutakanaumi.jp/pdf/saibai/2-2.pdf</a>
- 3) Distribution of naturally spawning chum salmon populations in Hokkaido. Salmon and Freshwater Fisheries Research Institute.

https://www.hro.or.jp/list/fisheries/marine/att/o7u1kr0000000r0w.pdf

4) Estimation of the population of wild chum salmon Oncorhynchus keta in Japanese hatchery rivers. Hokkaido National Fisheries Research Institute. Fisheries Research Agency.

https://www.jstage.jst.go.jp/article/suisan/79/2/79 12-00054/ pdf

5) Efficiency of natural reproduction of chum salmon in Chitose river, Hokkaido, Japan.

Hokkaido National Fisheries Research Institute. Fisheries Research Agency.

https://www.jstage.jst.go.jp/article/suisan/79/4/79 12-00086S/ pdf

# 3.2.3 Monitoring the impacts of released seedlings on the stock under consideration and the ecosystem

# Requirement: 3.2.3

There shall be continuous monitoring of the state of the stock under consideration and its habitat, and measures shall be implemented in order to avoid significant adverse impacts of enhancement activities on the natural reproductive stock components of the stock under consideration and ecosystem.

#### Indicator: (a)

- (a) Whether the stock under consideration is biologically and genetically monitored and confirmed that there are no morphological changes in the stock under consideration.
  - Biological (fish size, age, number of roes, timing of migration) and implementation of genetic monitoring.
- ☐ Confirmation of morphological changes to the stock under consideration.

# **Evaluation: (a)**

Evaluation: (a)	
Major non-conformity	Not monitored and confirmed.
Minor non-conformity	Although monitored and confirmed, there is a portion with no evidence.
Observation	Although monitored and confirmed, there is a room for improvement.
Conformity	Monitored and confirmed.
Not applicable	No associated fish farming nor resource enhancement

# **Indicator: (b)**

- (b) Whether following information about the impacts of release of artificial seedling on other species and the ecosystem exists:
- (1) Assessment of the extent to which non-target catches and discards by associated culture and enhancement activities threaten those non-target stocks with recruitment overfishing or other impacts that are likely to be irreversible or very slowly reversible.
- (2) Assessment of the impacts of associated culture and enhancement activities on endangered species with appropriate related data/information collected in accordance with applicable international standards and practices.
- (3) Assessment of the impacts of associated culture and enhancement activities on essential habitats for the stock under consideration and on habitats that are highly vulnerable to damage by the fishing gear of the unit of certification in the full spatial range of the relevant habitat, not just that part of the spatial range that is potentially affected by fishing.

(4)	Analysis of the effects of associated culture and enhancement activities on ecosystem structure,		
	processes and function to develop timely scientific advice on the likelihood and magnitude of		
	impacts with appropriate related data/information in accordance with applicable international		
	standards and practices.		
	☐ Existence of information about impacts - (4) above.	on other species and the ecosystem referred in (1)	
	Existence of information about the distributional area of seedling and growth after the seedling is released, including information to confirm that the natural reproductive stock		
	component of enhanced stocks is not su	bstantially displaced by stocked components.	
Ev	raluation: (b)		
•	Major non-conformity	Not Exist	
•	Minor non-conformity	Exist with partial lack of evidence	
•	Observation	Exist but required to be improved	
•	Conformity	Exist	
•	Not applicable	No associated fish farming nor resource	
		enhancement	
Inc	dicator: (c)		
(c)	Whether following management objectives	s, management measures and outcome indicators	
	(including those equivalent thereto) exist to avoid severe adverse impacts of release of artificia		
	seedling on the natural reproduction of the	stock under consideration and on the ecosystem:	
(1)	1) Management objectives that seek to ensure that non-target catches and discards by associated		
	culture and enhancement activity do not t	hreaten those non-target stocks with recruitment	
	overfishing or other impacts that are likely	to be irreversible or very slowly reversible and	
	management measures designed to achieve the management objectives.		
(2)	2) Management objectives that seek to ensure that endangered species are protected from adverse		
	impacts resulting from interactions with ass	ociated culture or enhancement activity, including	
	recruitment overfishing or other impacts that are likely to be irreversible or very slowly		
	reversible, outcome indicators consistent with achieving the management objectives and		
	management measures, as necessary, designed to achieve the management objectives.		
(3)	Management objectives that seek to minir	mize adverse impacts of associated enhancement	
	activities if applicable, on the structure, pro	cesses and function of aquatic ecosystems that are	
	likely to be irreversible or very slowly reversible, outcome indicators consistent with achieving		
	the management objectives and management measures, as necessary, designed to achieve the		
	management objectives.		
	$\square$ Existence of management objectives, management measures and outcome indicators (including those equivalent thereto) referred in $(1)$ – $(3)$ above		

Evaluation: (c)	
Major non-conformity	Not Exist
Minor non-conformity	Exist with partial lack of evidence
Observation	Exist but required to be improved
• Conformity	Exist
Not applicable	No associated fish farming nor resource
	enhancement.

#### **Indicator: (d)**

- (d) Whether the methodology and results of the analysis of the most probable adverse impacts of the associated culture and enhancement activity on the ecosystem are made publicly available in a timely manner, respecting confidentiality where appropriate.
  - Disclosure of the information on the impact of associated culture and enhancement activity on the ecosystem

#### **Evaluation: (d)**

Major non-conformity	Not disclosed
Minor non-conformity	Disclosed with partial lack of evidence
Observation	Disclosed but required to be improved.
Conformity	Disclosed
Not applicable	No associated fish farming nor resource
	enhancement

# **Guidance for Auditors:**

The requirement 3.2.3 is assessed by confirming "scientific papers about genetic influences" and other documents.

The indicator of the Checklist (a) is confirmed with survey reports and scientific papers.

The indicator of the Checklist (b), information about the distributional area of seedling and growth is confirmed with follow-up survey reports on the released population. Furthermore, in terms of impacts on other species and the ecosystem, released populations are same as the natural populations, and influence of an increase in the number of populations due to releasing is confirmed with information about natural populations.

The indicator of the Checklist (c) is confirmed with the record of seed production on whether the seed is produced in accordance with technical guidelines for reducing the risk of an impact on genetic diversity.

# **Examples of Evidence:**

1) Effectiveness of hatchery supplementation and its impacts on wild populations. Tokyo University of Marine Science and Technology.

https://www.jstage.jst.go.jp/article/suisan/82/3/82 WA2284/ pdf

2) Genetic structure of chum salmon populations in Japan. Hokkaido National Fisheries Research Institute. Fisheries Research Agency.

https://www.fra.affrc.go.jp/bulletin/bull/bull39/39-04.pdf

3) Resource evaluation of subpopulation of halibut in the North Pacific in 2018. Tohoku National Fisheries Research Institute. Fisheries Research Agency

http://abchan.fra.go.jp/digests28/details/2857.pdf

4) Guidelines on Release of Artificial Seeds. The National Research and Development Agency. Japan Fisheries Research and Education Agency. Fisheries Agency.

https://www.jfa.maff.go.jp/j/koho/bunyabetsu/pdf/identeki\_tayousei\_sisin.pdf

End